WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Linited	States	Ωf	America
OHILEU	States	UI	AIIIEIICa

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		V.					
Felipe Lopez-Machado		lipe Lopez-Machado	Case Number:	15-9193MJ			
was pr	esent ar		3142(f), the issue of detention has been by a preponderance of the evidence to trial in this case.				
I find b	y a prep	onderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT				
	X		e charged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
		If released herein, the defendant fac Enforcement, placing him/her beyon deported or otherwise removed.	eased herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs cement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been red or otherwise removed.				
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.					
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.						
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.						
		The defendant is an amnesty application substantial family ties to Mexico.	ant but has no substantial ties in Arizor	na or in the United States and has			
		There is a record of prior failure to a	ppear in court as ordered.				
		The defendant attempted to evade la	aw enforcement contact by fleeing from	n law enforcement.			
		The defendant is facing a maximum	of years impr	isonment.			
The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.							
			CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
	1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defer No condition or combination of cond	ndant will flee. itions will reasonably assure the appea	arance of the defendant as required.			
		DIRECTI	ONS REGARDING DETENTION				
pendin order o	rrections g appea of a cour shall de	s facility separate, to the extent practic I. The defendant shall be afforded a r t of the United States or on request of liver the defendant to the United State	f the Attorney General or his/her designable, from persons awaiting or serving easonable opportunity for private conson attorney for the Government, the pass Marshal for the purpose of an appear	sentences or being held in custody sultation with defense counsel. On erson in charge of the corrections			
procee	Ū		S AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	2 4 O 4 4 12 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
District from the objection	ver a cop t Court. ne date c	by of the motion for review/reconsideral Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM. of service of a copy of this order or after the district court. Failure to timely file	is detention order be filed with the Distation to Pretrial Services at least one d. P., effective December 1, 2009, Defer the oral order is stated on the record objections in accordance with Rule 59	ay prior to the hearing set before the ndant shall have fourteen (14) days I within which to file specific written			
	I Service		to a third party is to be considered, it ig before the District Court to allow Pre todian.				
DATE:		ne 22, 2015	<u> </u>	Willest			
				Eileen S. Willett States Magistrate Judge			